



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/003,353		11/01/2001	William R. Kennedy	KDY 9485	5231	
321	7590	04/30/2004		EXAMINER		
SENNIGE	R POW	ERS LEAVITT ANI	MAI, LANNA			
ONE MET	ROPOLIT	AN SQUARE				
16TH FLO	OR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS,	MO 63	102		3637		
				DATE MAILED: 04/30/200	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/003,353	KENNEDY ET AL.	\sim				
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Lanna Mai	3637	•				
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ars n the cover sheet with the c	rresp ndence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the comment which a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	/ to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final of	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <i>App</i>			Γ place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	enewly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	•	. –	-				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,14 and 31-40</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•					
LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The drawing correction made in fig. 2 raises new matter in that the corrected fig. 2 shows the rebar-type element 26 embedded in the core while the spec. on p.5 discloses the rebar-type elements 26 may be attached to the inside faces of the panels 21, 23. In addition, applicant's arguments with respect to the claims are not persuasive: The mine passage and mine environment are intended uses which are given no patentable weight.